

STATE OF WISCONSIN CIRCUIT COURT MILWAUKEE COUNTY

I. Griffin for self

Case No. _____

United States ex rel I. Griffin

I. Griffin for herself and as next of kin, next of friend

for Cherakei Griffin personal representative under 42 USC 1320d, the Health Insurance

Portability and Accountability Act, and applicable regulations

S.Griffin for himself

-Plaintiffs'

V

CLASSIFICATION CODE 30105

Chad Bublitz

Brennyn Sibley(8622 Petrie Rd, Two Rivers, Wi, 54241)

Froedert Memorial Hospital & the Medical College Of Wisconsin(9200 W Wisconsin Ave ,

Wauwatosa Wi. 53226)

Chad Bublitz (2755 Cambridge Circle, Brookfield, Wisc 53045)

Dewey Hospital (1220 W Dewey Ave.)

Aurora Hospital(s) (1220 W Dewey Ave.)

Wauwatosa Police Department (1700 N 116th Street Wauwatosa, WI 53226)

Milwaukee Police Department (2920 N Vel R. Phillips Ave)

Milwaukee County(901 N. 9th Street, Milwaukee, WI 53233) #105

City of Wauwatosa (7725 W. North Ave. Wauwatosa, WI 53213)

City Of Milwaukee (200 E. Wells Street, Milwaukee, WI 53202)

Cavalier Johnson (**individual capacity**) (726 N 34th St)

Ronald Edwards (**individual capacity**) 4518 N 64th St

Milwaukee, WI 53218

Kathleen Murphy (**individual capacity**) 15260 Indian Creek Pkwy #P

Brookfield, WI 53005

Amy Rapisarda (**individual capacity**) 3120 Serenity Dr

Manitowoc, WI 54220

Dr Jane/John Doe(s) (**individual capacity**)

-Defendants'


26CV005594

Received by

JUN 12 2026

City Clerk's Office

HON. CYNTHIA DAVIS, BR. 21
CIVIL C



SUMMONS

STATE OF WISCONSIN CIRCUIT COURT MILWAUKEE COUNTY

I. Griffin for self

Case No. _____

2026005594

Received by

United States ex rel I. Griffin

JUN 12 2026

I. Griffin for herself and as next of kin, next of friend

City Clerk's Office

for Cherakei Griffin personal representative under 42 USC 1320d, the Health Insurance

Portability and Accountability Act, and applicable regulations

S.Griffin for himself

-Plaintiffs'

Complaint for Monetary, Declaratory &

Injunctive Relief

REQUEST FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OF DEFENDANTS

V

CLASSIFICATION CODE 30105

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Chad Bublitz(**individual capacity**) (2755 Cambridge Circle, Brookfield , Wi 53045)

Brennyn Sibley(**individual capacity**) (8622 Petrie Rd, Two Rivers, Wi, 54241)

Froedert Memorial Hospital & the Medical College Of Wisconsin (9200 W Wisconsin Ave ,
Wauwatosa Wi. 53226)

Dewey Hospital (1220 W Dewey Ave.)

Aurora Hospital(s) (1220 W. Dewey Ave.)

Wauwatosa Police Department (1700 N 116th Street Wauwatosa, WI 53226)


Milwaukee Police Department (2920 N Vel R. Phillips Ave)

Milwaukee County (901 N. 9th Street, Milwaukee, WI 53233) #105

City of Wauwatosa (7725 W. North Ave. Wauwatosa, WI 53213)

City Of Milwaukee (200 E. Wells Street, Milwaukee, WI 53202)

Cavalier Johnson (**individual capacity**) 726 N 34th St
Milwaukee, WI 53208



Ronald Edwards (individual capacity) 4518 N 64th St
Milwaukee, WI 53218
Kathleen Murphy (individual capacity) 15260 Indian Creek Pkwy #P
Brookfield, WI 53005
Amy Rapisarda (individual capacity) 3120 Serenity Dr
Manitowoc, WI 54220
Dr Jane/John Doe(s) (individual capacity) -Defendants'

NATURE OF CASE

This is an action in which pursuant to clearly established law, prosecution of the defendants' must be commenced as they have clearly, going to war against the United States Constitution, recklessly with disregard to the civil rights of the Plaintiffs, engaged in and continuing to engage in serious federal criminal acts as detailed infra. Pursuant to 42 U.S. C. 1987, the Court is with the authority and obligation to commence a criminal prosecution component part within this very serious action.

As of the filing of this federal action against said defendants' the physical whereabouts of Plaintiff's daughter Cherakei Griffin and her health and safety are unknown. There is evidence that Cherakei Griffin is forcefully being used for human cloning experimentation. She has been listed at two different hospitals at the same time, under heavy security. It is believed that the Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin, is now in serious danger of being human trafficked and all named defendants' are in collusion as it relates. Cherakei Griffin was last seen at home, healthy, unharmed, uninjured by the plaintiff. On June 4, 2026, at gun point defendant Kathleen Murphy entered the resident by threat and use of force, and removed one occupant and stayed in the resident with Cherakei Griffin. When she came out of the residence Cherakei Griffin had visible injuries to her body and person, and was taken away from her home by defendant Kathleen Murphy. Kathleen Murphy is believed to be the same person who partook in the sexual assault of the Plaintiff approximately a year ago. The defendant are using hospitals and badges

and there purported authority under ‘the color of law’ to engage in trafficking, kidnapping, false imprisonment, involuntary servitude, slavery, human rights and civil rights violations. The defendants have KIDNAPPED Cherakei Griffin and have done so in violation of the laws, rights and guarantees of the United States Constitution. The defendants’ all have either taken an oath to support and uphold the constitution and/or receive federal funding from the United States.

Cherakei Griffin is in extreme physical imminent danger and the Plaintiff Ieshuh Griffin asks the Court to order an emergency hearing regarding this as expeditiously as possible, respectfully speaking. The defendants’ drugged Cherakei Griffin and purposely violated HIPPA by ignoring the POA. The defendants’ allege that they do not know the whereabouts of Cherakei Griffin. No one has seen nor heard from Cherakei Griffin, who has no money, no shoes and was so drugged up it is impossible for Cherakei Griffin to literally be cognizant in freezing cold, alone.

Respondents’ are refusing to file a missing person report, though mandated bylaw, and have banned the plaintiff I.Griffin from entering onto the premises of Froedert Hospital and Dewey Hospital. The defendants’ have threatened physical harm to the plaintiff Ieshuh Griffin every time the Plaintiff attempts to set foot on Wauwatosa land regarding the whereabouts of her Daughter. The Plaintiff is suing for \$50 BILLION dollars for the loss, medical experimentation and cover up of injury to Cherakei Griffin and the violations of civil rights of both Cherakei Griffin and her mother.

This case is being brought pursuant to the **Civil Rights Act, The Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 USC 1320d, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act , The Emergency Medical Treatment And Labor Act, The Americans With Disabilities Act** as well as all applicable federal laws and regulations, whereby Plaintiff hereby complains of a pattern of denials of equal protection and equal treatment and civil rights

violations, as well as violations of The Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 USC 1320d, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act , The Emergency Medical Treatment And Labor Act, The Americans With Disabilities Act. Plaintiffs'' ask the court to schedule and issue emergency mandatory orders by way of the injunctive relief act and the Plaintiffs'' ask the court to schedule a hearing and issue emergency declarations of the rights and privileges and immunities of Plaintiffs''. Plaintiff further complains of a neglect to prevent the same willful discrimination, disparate treatment, negligent, mismanagement. As a direct cause of the segregated and discriminatory actions of the Defendants' against the Plaintiffs', the Plaintiffs' has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury, **and Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin is believed to be in imminent physical danger and whereabouts are unknown.** There is evidence that Cherakei Griffin is forcefully being used for human cloning experimentation. She has been listed at two different hospitals at the same time, under heavy security. The Plaintiffs' have been subjected to a hostile and discriminatory atmosphere by the Defendants' with intent to cause injury to the Plaintiffs', specifically Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin as **Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin is believed to be in imminent physical danger and whereabouts are unknown.**

The Plaintiff hereby petitions for a jury to award the Plaintiff damages and certain remedial actions, including a monetary award of **FIFTY BILLION DOLLARS \$50, 000,000,000 inclusive of punitive damages.** The Plaintiff further as detailed above moves for the immediate commencement of a federal criminal prosecution against all defendants as mandated pursuant to the FRCP statute as stated supra. The Plaintiff further complains in regards to intentional discrimination by the Defendants' on the basis of status, color, and race and gender as well as

religious belief as in relation to Plaintiff's daughter, who is believed to be in imminent physical danger and whereabouts are unknown. There is evidence that Cherakei Griffin is forcefully being used for human cloning experimentation. She has been listed at two different hospitals at the same time, under heavy security. The Defendants' engaged in unlawful segregated, discriminatory, arbitrary and capricious random decision making against the Plaintiffs' which has interfered with fairness, due process and constitutionally protected rights.

1. This is a multi-grounded civil rights action at law, at common law, and also in equity, to vindicate and restore various rights of the Plaintiffs' secured under federal law, to vindicate and restore various rights of the Plaintiffs' secured under federal law, to vindicate and restore their various inalienable rights guaranteed under certain portions of, and several Amendments to, the United States Constitution, and for the Plaintiffs 'to claim all rights, damages, and forms of reliefs obtainable under any available means, in the interest of justice, and through the authority and supplemental jurisdiction vested in this Court by 28 USC § 1367, and also through Article III of the United States Constitution, if and as necessary.
2. In no way, shape, or form, do or will the Plaintiff claim or assert, either expressed or implied, any manner of rights or interests alluding to any aspect of controversy under any state law, whatsoever, excepting only that a matter must be fairly characterized as an act, practice, or policy of, or by, the state which exists or functions in derogation of federal law or federal rights.
3. Further, Plaintiffs' expressly disclaim any such allusions to matters arising solely under state law or state rights, with, again, excepting only that a given matter must or might be

fairly characterized as an act, practice, pattern, or policy of, or committed by, the state which exists or functions in derogation of federal law or federal rights.

The Plaintiffs' seeks all available forms of declaratory, injunctive, retrospective, and prospective relief that correspond to the various cause of action and prayers for relief herein including an emergency hearing regarding **Plaintiff daughter, whom is believed to be in imminent physical danger and whereabouts are unknown.** There is evidence that Cherakei Griffin is forcefully being used for human cloning experimentation. She has been listed at two different hospitals at the same time, under heavy security.

4. There presently exist a United States Constitution, a Bill of Right, a United States Supreme Court, as well as federal statues ensuring strict compliance with all such federal law and applicable federal rights. The Defendants' named supra, themselves have routinely misapplied and out righted disregard the law and the Plaintiffs' has no recourse except to come to the federal government to assist in enforcing its own laws, and to prohibit the Defendants' named supra from consistently misapplying the law and violation of Plaintiffs' rights.
5. Given the above seriousness and important nature of this case, the significant implications to the general public, and the same including grievous and numerous violations of civil and constitutional rights, this Court, respectfully speaking, should afford special attention thereupon, and impart expediency to the resolution of this action, pursuant to all authority under 28 USC § 1657 (a).
6. **Short Plain Statement of Notice of Unconstitutional Acts.**

This constitutes 'fair notice' of what the claims are and the grounds for the claims. Officials sued in their personal capacity come to court as individuals. While acting 'under the color of

law', the Defendants' named supra in their individual capacities (with the exception of are all employees and/or agents of the Defendants' acting under the 'color of law' named supra in their individual capacity. All of the Defendants' named supra have uniformly and in concert engaged in and/or approved of the use of excessive force, kidnapping, medical malpractice, false imprisonment, treason, violations of the United States Constitution, violations of Human rights, violation of Indigenous People rights, violations of Indian rights against the Plaintiffs' and that of Plaintiffs family member Cherakei Griffin. The Defendants' further violated their own policies and procedures with their discriminatory actions as well as engaged in and are continuing to engage in retaliation against the Plaintiffs' for exercising their constitutional rights. The defendants' as a whole, subjected minor S.G. and S.G's mother to violations of their constitutional and human rights by acts and/or omissions, 'under the color of law' as detailed infra.

PARTIES TO THE CASE

Plaintiff I. Griffin is a city of Milwaukee residence, an indigenous person, and of both African American and Original Indian heritage and ancestry. I. Griffin was seriously injured by one, some of all of the defendants, including sexually assaulted, falsely imprisoned, falsely arrested. At no time did I. Griffin consent to any of the serious and inhuman violations against her and her person.

S. Griffin is a young Black male, son of I. Griffin, brother of Cherakei Griffin, a city of Milwaukee residence, an indigenous person, and of both African American and Original Indian heritage and ancestry. S. Griffin, while in his home was, exercising his constitutional rights, was seriously injured by one, some of all of the defendant, including assault, falsely imprisoned,

falsely arrested. At no time did S. Griffin consent to any of the serious and inhuman violations against his and his person.

I. Griffin's daughter, and sibling of S. Griffin, Cherakei Griffin, herein referenced as Cherakei and/or the Cherakei Griffin, is a young African American female born in and residing in City of Milwaukee. On June 4, 2026 Cherakei Griffin was forcefully removed at gunpoint from her home by defendant Kathleen Murphy. Kathleen Murphy is believed to have inflicted serious injuries onto the Plaintiff's daughter while alone with her inside her residence. Kathleen Murphy is also responsible for assisting in the actual sexual assault of the Plaintiff, one year earlier. It is believed that the defendants separately and/or as a whole are engaging in experiments and inflicting torture onto the Plaintiff's daughter. It is believed that the Plaintiff's daughter is being heavily drugged. All traces of the Plaintiff's daughter has been erased. **The Plaintiff's daughter is believed to be in imminent physical danger and whereabouts are unknown.** There is evidence that Cherakei Griffin is forcefully being used for human cloning experimentation. She has been listed at two different hospitals at the same time, under heavy security.

Plaintiff I. Griffin is the biological mother of Cherakei Griffin and has acted on her medical behalf her entire life, as well as becoming her legally binding Power of Attorney on May 26, 2014 and has myriads of updated POA paperwork in which the defendants' repeatedly ignored. The Defendants' are violating the rights of Plaintiff I. Griffin as it relates to her involvement of the care and safety and well being of her biological child Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin. The defendants' further are refusing to acknowledge that the Plaintiff is Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin's POA, and are doing so with the intent to engage in improper and unlawful conduct against Plaintiff C. Griffin, whom **Cherakei Griffin is believed to be in imminent physical danger and whereabouts are unknown.** There is evidence that Cherakei Griffin is forcefully being

used for human cloning experimentation. She has been listed at two different hospitals at the same time, under heavy security.

Plaintiff United States ex rel I. Griffin is a necessary party as this action is of significant public importance and the laws of the United States are being egregiously violated by the defendants to the point that the United States Attorney General and intervention in this action by way of The Institutionalized Persons Right Act. Further, the United States Attorney General intervention is necessary as the Defendants are blatantly violating the EMTALA and as such the United States Attorney General Office has a mandatory obligation to enter onto the premises of West Allis Memorial hospital and open up an official investigation.

The Defendants' named supra in their individual capacities and official capacities are all uniformly and in concert engaged in and/or approved of the used false subjective admission and restraint of Cherakei Griffin and are knowingly and actively interfering with and preventing Plaintiff I. Griffin to exercise her fiduciary responsibilities to Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin and are continuing to subject Cherakei Griffin to cruel and unusual punishment. The Defendants' further have violated their own policies and procedures with their discriminatory actions as well as engaged in and are continuing to engage in retaliation against the Plaintiff for exercising her constitutional rights.

As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' actions and omissions The Defendants' have willfully committed gross negligence and reckless disregard to the various basic and absolute duties owed towards the Plaintiffs' and the Plaintiffs' related, inherent, natural, legal and constitutional rights, to due process all in violation of various civil and/or constitutional rights, contrary to the laws of the United States, and they should be thereof found

due and owing to the Plaintiffs' for certain amounts of damages; \$50, 000,000,000 and all remedial actions appropriate thereof should be taken expeditiously.

Defendants

CITY OF MILWAUKEE & CITY OF WAUWATOSA

These defendants operate and controls the operations of the geographical land in which the plaintiffs and her daughter and son were violated on. These defendants ultimately bares as well the responsibility in ensuring that its facilities are in conformity with the United States Constitution and its programs adhere to the clearly established law. The failure to supervise is so severe as to reach levels of gross negligence or deliberate indifference to deprivation of Plaintiffs' constitutional rights. Defendant was most certainly aware, and did not intervene to alleviate risks posed by such restraints. It has misuse of power posse by virtue of state law, which is made possible only because the wrongdoer is clothed with the authority of state law committed in fulfillment of tasks assigned to it

EVERS, MCBRIDE, JOHNSON, MURPHY, EDWARDS, RAPISARDA, SIBLEY,

BUBLITZ

While acting under the color of law, these defendants knowingly willingly and reckless engaged in bribery, public corruption, false imprisonment, retaliation for the exercise of constitutional rights, slavery , involuntary servitude and other serious federal offense as detailed within the complaint.

MURPHY, BUBLITZ,SIBLEY,

While acting under color of law, in a police uniform these defendants engaged in nonconsensual sexual assault, kidnapping, assault and battery, falsified police reports,

falsleied imprisonment, and kidnapping all in violation of the United States CONstion as well as the State of Wisconsin Constitution

DEWEY, FROEDERT, AURORA, MILWAUKEE COUNTY, CITY OF MILWAUKEE, CITY OF WAUWATOSA, WAUWATOSA POLICE DEPARTMENT,

These defendants have engaged in medical kidnapping, torture falsified reports, misuse of federal grants, federal funds, slavery, involuntary servitude, violations of HIPPA, violations of the FEDERAL LAW relating to POWER OF ATTORNEY, torture, violations of Indigenous Rights, violations of Indian law,

Jurisdiction and Venue

The circuit court has general jurisdiction prescribed under Article VII and has power to hear all civil actions pursuant to the powers vested thereunder. See Wis. Stats. 753.03. Venue is proper thereunder as the Plaintiff and the defendants' either reside in and/or do business within the jurisdiction of the court, the state of Wisconsin and or the county of Milwaukee. Personal jurisdiction in this matter is met as alleged above, and the Defendants' have, are or work for agents located within said jurisdiction. Plaintiff's claims arise under the United States Constitution as well as the Civil Rights Act, The Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 USC 1320d, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act , The Emergency Medical Treatment And Labor Act.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, respectfully demands a trial by jury in this action of all triable issues.

DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

Federal criminal charges for civil rights violations under the color of law are primarily governed by 18 U.S.C. § 242, which makes it a crime for anyone acting under governmental authority

(such as the defendants') to willfully deprive a person of their constitutional rights. Federal criminal charges for public corruption are prosecuted by the Department of Justice (DOJ) and investigated by the FBI, targeting federal, state, and local officials who misuse their office for personal gain. The Court is endowed with general supervisory jurisdiction over public corruption offenses.

REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiffs' are asking the Court to schedule as expeditiously as possible a hearing to effectuate Emergency injunctive relief. **Currently, Cherakei Griffin is believed to be in imminent physical danger and whereabouts are unknown.** Pursuant to the **Language of Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act**, the Court has the power to order federal government officials to enter onto the premises of Froedert Hospital and any other institution to check on and access the whereabouts health and wellness of Plaintiff C. Griffin who is being denied all rights and privileges as it relates. **Cherakei Griffin is believed to be in imminent physical danger and whereabouts are unknown**

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

The Plaintiffs' have a right to equal protection under the law, through litigation and any other lawful means. "The starting point in interpreting a statute is its language, for '[i]f the intent of Congress is clear, that is the end of the matter.'" **See Good Samaritan Hospital v. 113 S. Ct. 2151**, egregious or flagrant conditions which deprive such persons of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States causing such persons to suffer grievous harm, and that such deprivation is pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of such rights, privileges, or immunities, the Attorney General, for or in the name of the United States, may institute a civil action in any appropriate. The Language of the Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act can call upon the FBI to conduct

thorough investigations of defendants'; taking photographs and collecting relevant data on institutional conditions.

The Defendants' have chilled the Plaintiff's protected federal rights under federal law. The Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin under such act has the right to be under the least restrictive setting yet the Defendants' have subjected the Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin to conditions ex that deprive her of constitutional rights. The defendants' are failing to adhere to the mandates of the Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Rights Act in such an egregious manner that an onsite investigation needs to be commenced as **Cherakei Griffin is believed to be in imminent physical danger and whereabouts are unknown.**

GOVERNING LAW

1. **Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964** provides: "No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." 42 U.S.C. § 2000d. **The Fourteenth Amendment** provides, in relevant part, that no person shall be denied "the equal protection of the laws." The "central mandate" of equal protection is "racial neutrality" by the government or institution subject to the Fourteenth Amendment. *See Miller v. Johnson, 515 U.S. 900.* "Whenever the government treats any person unequally because of his or her race, that person has suffered an injury that falls squarely within the language and spirit of the Constitution's guarantee of equal protection." *See Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena, 515 U.S. 200.* "Distinctions between citizens solely because of their ancestry are by their very nature odious to a free people, and therefore are contrary to our traditions and hence constitutionally suspect." *See Fisher, 133 S. Ct.*

2419. Thus, “any official action that treats a person differently on account of race or ethnic origin is inherently suspect.” In other words, “because racial classifications so seldom provide a relevant basis for disparate treatment, the Equal Protection Clause demands that racial classifications be subjected to the most rigid scrutiny.”

2. **The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution-**“All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States....no State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens in the United States...nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any persons within its jurisdiction the equal protections of the laws.
3. **HIPPA-** HIPPA and the American with Disability Act authorized the power of attorney to act as the principal's personal representative under 42 USC 1320d, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, and applicable regulations, to obtain access to the principal's health-care information and communicate with the principal's health care provider.

Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act: If a violation of the statute is alleged, the federal government comes into the medical facility and investigates not just the claimed violation, but any violation of any type from the statute. Section 1395dd(c) generally restricts transfers of unstabilized patients or improper transfers of stabilized persons. §1395dd (d) authorizes both civil fines and a private cause of action for violations of the statute as well as commencement of investigation of misconduct or unlawful actions against persons in their care.

Title II of the ADA Requires States to Serve Individuals with Disabilities in the Most Integrated Setting Appropriate. Congress enacted the ADA in 1990 “to provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities.” 42 U.S.C. § 12101(b)(1). Congress found that “historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities, and despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem.” 42 U.S.C. § 12101(a)(2). For these reasons, Congress prohibited discrimination against individuals with disabilities by public entities when it provided that “no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity.” 42 U.S.C. § 12132. Accordingly, the “ADA is intended to insure that qualified individuals receive services in a manner consistent with basic human dignity rather than a manner which shunts them aside, hides, and ignores them.” One form of discrimination prohibited by Title II of the ADA is violation of the “integration mandate.” 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(d); see also 42 U.S.C. § 12101(a)(2), (b)(1). That is, under the ADA, public entities are required to “administer services, programs, and activities in the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of qualified individuals with disabilities.” 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(d). An integrated setting is one that “enables individuals with disabilities to interact with non-disabled persons to the fullest extent possible.” 28 C.F.R. Pt. 35, App. B, 690 (2015). In *Olmstead v. L.C.*, the Supreme Court held that public entities are required to provide community-based services to persons with disabilities when (a) such services are appropriate; (b) the affected persons do not oppose community-based treatment; and (c) community-based services can be reasonably accommodated, taking into account the resources available to the

entity and the needs of other persons with disabilities. 527 U.S. 581, 607. In so holding, the Court explained that unnecessary institutional placement “perpetuates unwarranted assumptions that persons so isolated are incapable or unworthy of participating in community life.” Id. at 600. The ADA’s integration mandate applies both to people who are currently institutionalized and to people who are at serious risk of institutionalization. See *Steimel v. Wernert*, 823 F.3d 902, 913 (7th Cir. 2016); (“A State’s program violates the ADA’s integration mandate if it creates the risk of segregation; neither present nor inevitable segregation is required.”). Failure to provide community services is a direct violation of the ADA. The ADA was passed, in part, to combat discrimination against individuals with disabilities, including discrimination in the form of unnecessary segregation.

4. **18 USC § 241**- Conspiracy against rights-“If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten. Or intimidate any person in any State...in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privileged secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States because of his having so exercised the same...or if two or more persons prevent, or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured....
5. **18 USC § 242**- Deprivation of rights under color of law-“Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State...to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States...
6. **42 USC § 1981**- Equal rights under the law-“All persons within the jurisdiction of the United States shall have the same right in every State...to the full and equal benefit of all laws and proceedings for the security of persons and property as enjoyed by white citizens....

7. **42 USC § 1986-** Action for neglect to prevent-“Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects to do so, if such wrongful act to be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act be committed, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal maybe joined as defendants in the action...”
8. **42 USC § 1988-**Proceedings in vindication of civil rights-“The jurisdiction in civil and criminal matters conferred on the district courts. For the protection of all persons in the United States in their civil rights, and for their vindication, shall be exercised and enforced in conformity with the laws of the United States.....

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

The Defendants’ engage in and continue to engage in violation of all the governing laws cited supra and as a direct and proximate result the Plaintiffs’ are suffering irreparable damage.

COUNT I

Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983

(All Defendants’ named supra)

Plaintiff reallege the above allegations as if hereinafter set forth in full and further states these claims arise under Title 42 of The United States Code (Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended) including but not limited to § 1983. Defendants’ deprived Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin of her rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the United States Constitution (or other federal laws). The arbitrary, highly offensive discriminatory actions of the Defendants’ named supra shocks the

conscience, and are clearly, and unjustifiable unconstitutional, and illegal. The defendants' had no justifiable or legitimate state interest or objective in the disparate treatment against the Plaintiff, which was and is wholly different and not in conformity with others similarly situated. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs' are entitled to the Relief set forth in the Prayer and as qualified as above.

Count II

Violation of 42 HIPPA and Power Of Attorney Rights of Plaintiffs''

(All Defendants 'named supra)

By Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to the Relief set forth in the Prayer and as qualified as above.

COUNT III

(All Defendants' named supra)

Emergency Medical Treatment And Labor Act Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to the Relief set forth in the Prayer and as qualified as above.

COUNT IV

TREASON

All Defendants 'named supra)

B Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein. All defendants have knowingly, and willfully

engaged in war against the United States Constitution, constituting in act of treason. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs 'are entitled to the Relief set forth in the Prayer and as qualified as above.

COUNT V

UNLAWFUL NONCONSENSUAL MEDICAL RESEARCH

All Defendants 'named supra)

It is believed from the totality of evidence that respondents' have and are engaging in nonconsensual inhumane research against Cherakei Griffin in violation of clearly established federal law and guaranteed constitutional rights engaged in and are covering up against Cherakei Griffin

COUNT VI

(Wauwatosa Police Department & Milwaukee Police Department, Murphy, Edwards, Sibley, Bublitz)

42 USC § 14141- Police Misconduct Statue; unlawful for state or local law enforcement agencies to allow officers to engage in a pattern or practice of conduct that deprives persons of rights protected by the Constitution and or laws of the United States.

COUNT VII

Constitution Article I, Clause 3, Sec.8- impermissible burden on interstate commerce.

(All defendants)

The defendants have monopolized a scheme to create color of law crimes that are direct contradiction to interstate commerce

COUNT VIII

42 USC § 1988

(ALL DEFENDANTS)

While acting under the color of law and/or purporting to act under the color of law, the defendants treated the Plaintiffs' separately, unequally, segregated and as such the actions complained of constitute a violation of the Plaintiffs' equal protection rights secured under the laws of the United States Constitution and there under. These actions were arbitrary and not founded in any law. Proceedings in vindication of civil rights-"The jurisdiction in civil and criminal matters conferred on the district courts. For the protection of all persons in the United States in their civil rights, and for their vindication, shall be exercised and enforced in conformity with the laws of the United States.....

Plaintiff reallege the above allegations as if hereinafter set forth in full and further states these claims arise under 42 USC section 1988. Defendants' deprived Plaintiffs' rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the United States Constitution (or other federal laws). The arbitrary, highly offensive discriminatory actions of the Defendants' named supra shocks the conscience, and are clearly, and unjustifiable unconstitutional, and illegal. The defendants' had no justifiable or legitimate state interest or objective in the disparate treatment against the Plaintiffs', which was and is wholly different and not in conformity with others similarly situated. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs' are entitled to the Relief set forth in the Prayer and as qualified as above.


PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- I. The Plaintiff prays that there is a finding that the Defendants' have violated the Plaintiffs' constitutional rights as described in said complaint, in violation of The federal laws cited supra, and as such the Plaintiffs' be awarded actual, compensatory, statutory, consequential damages; punitive and treble damages in the amount of

\$50,000,000.000. The Plaintiff further prays for the Court to enforce injunctive and declaratory relief to effectuate justice, require the Defendants' to immediately remedy in accordance with the law all violations and to ensure that adequate steps are implementing to deter reoccurrence in the future. Order the Defendants' to cease violating the Constitution of the United States and those of the Plaintiffs' that are federally protected and order the immediate release of Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin as well as provide the Court with her whereabouts immediately. The Plaintiffs' ask that the Court order a weekly full documented writing on the whereabouts of Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin and order the fullest extent possible of the participation of Plaintiff I. Griffin and rights as it relates to the Plaintiff Cherakei Griffin. Plaintiffs'' also ask the Court to order that any and all evidence or possible evidence in this matter not be destroyed.

- II. The Plaintiff prays that all issues be tried by a jury to the extent they are so triable under the Seventh Amendment to the United States Constitution and Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to weigh and decide the facts.
- III. Apply strict scrutiny to each defendant, on an individual basis. The Plaintiff requested for immediate Injunctive and Declaratory relief and an order to enjoin the Defendants''
- IV. Effectuate any other relief deem necessary. Including ordering the release of body camera footage, and other discovery request.

Respectfully submitted this 12th date of June the year 2026


I. Griffin 6-12-26

Plaintiff – I. Griffin, P.O. Box 72057, Milwaukee, WI 53212